

Philip D. Murphy

Governor

Sheila Y. Oliver Lt. Governor

State of New Jersey

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF LAW
25 MARKET STREET
PO Box
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0

Matthew J. Platkin
Attorney General

MICHAEL T.G. LONG
Director

November 18, 2022

VIA CM/ECF

Hon. Peter G. Sheridan United States District Court for the District of New Jersey Clarkson S. Fisher Building & U.S. Courthouse 402 East State Street Trenton, NJ 08608

Re: Association of New Jersey Rifle, et al. v. Attorney General New Jersey, et al.

No. 3:18-cv-10507

Dear Judge Sheridan:

The State submits this letter and proposed schedule in response to the Court's September 21, 2022 Order, directing it to submit a proposed schedule for the development of the record. As the Court is aware, the Third Circuit remanded this case to allow the parties to develop a record in light of the clarified standard to evaluate Second Amendment challenges articulated in *New York State Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen*, 142 S. Ct. 2111 (2022). *See* Order, No. 19-3142 (3d Cir. Aug. 25, 2022), ECF No. 147. Under *Bruen*, Plaintiffs bear the initial burden to show that the plain text of the Second Amendment covers the conduct in question—in this case, the possession of large capacity magazines. *See Bruen*, 142 S. Ct. at 2129-30. If Plaintiffs are able to satisfy that first step, the burden shifts to the government to justify its law by demonstrating that it is "consistent with the Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation." *Id.* at 2130.

The below proposed schedule reflects the time and resources needed to gather facts and develop the historical record as required under *Bruen*'s new standard. Under *Bruen*, if regulated conduct is covered by the Second Amendment, the parties must present evidence to facilitate the

November 18, 2022

Page 2

Court's inquiry of whether the regulation is consistent with historical tradition. See id. at 2130 n.6 (noting that in the "adversarial system" courts can count on "party presentation" and "decide a case based on the historical record compiled by the parties"). As the Bruen Court noted, determining whether a challenged law is "consistent with the Second Amendment's historical understanding" "can be difficult; it sometimes requires resolving threshold questions, and making nuanced judgments about which evidence to consult and how to interpret it." Id. at 2130 (quoting McDonald v. City of Chicago, 561 U.S. 742, 803-04 (2010)). Whether the challenged law addresses a societal problem that has persisted over time, and the means by which earlier generations addressed that problem, are relevant considerations. *Id.* at 2131. Importantly, *Bruen* recognized that a court's constitutional inquiry may be "nuanced" where, as here, a challenge to a state law "implicat[es] unprecedented societal concerns or dramatic technological changes." Id. at 2132. Such a "nuanced approach" "will often involve reasoning by analogy"—that is, showing a law is "relevantly similar," id., to a "well-established and representative historical analogue," id. at 2133. Whether a historical regulation "impose[d] a comparable burden on the right of armed self-defense" and was "comparably justified" are "central' considerations when engaging in an analogical inquiry." *Id.* (quoting *McDonald*, 561 U.S. at 767 (emphasis in original)).

In particular, expert testimony regarding the historical record will help the Court conduct the "nuanced" analysis *Bruen* requires. *See id.* at 2134 (tasking courts "with answering these kinds of historical, analogical questions" in future cases). For example, expert historians will help identify historical analogues to modern-day regulations and will offer evidence about whether those analogues "impose[d] a comparable burden on the right of armed self-defense" and was "comparably justified." *See id.* at 2133. And other experts will address whether the "societal problem" raised in this case have persisted over time and whether large capacity magazines represent a "dramatic technological change[]" from the weapons then in use. *Id.* at 2132. *See also* Order at 6, *United States v. Bullock*, No. 3:18-cr-165-CWR-FKB (S.D. Miss. Oct. 27, 2022), ECF No. 65 ("An expert may help the Court identify and sift through authoritative sources on foundingera firearms restrictions."). The parties understandably did not develop such historical evidence when this Court presided over this matter in 2018 because, at that time, the Third Circuit employed a means-ends inquiry when considering the validity of firearms regulations.

Developing the historical record through expert testimony is a time and resource intensive process. State Defendants have communicated with several potential experts since the initial

conference on September 20, 2022. These experts are continuing to conduct research on historical firearm and weapon regulations, the impact of firearm regulation, and other related topics. Our conversations have focused on identifying which of these individuals have the time, interest, and expertise to write declarations and expert reports, how much time this work would require, and the cost of their services.

Most of the experts under consideration are full-time professors and/or are engaged in other research projects, so there are other competing demands on their time. In addition, expert historians have emphasized that the historical inquiry required by Bruen is time-intensive, as it will involve "research in the existing digital sources with more traditional and time-consuming primary source research in archives and rare book collections." Declaration of Saul Cornell ("Cornell Decl.") Ex. A, at ¶ 7. Among other things, "[a]ny effort to understand the Second Amendment and the history of gun regulation must [] canvass a variety of historical topics, including such diverse subfields as legal history, social history, cultural history, economic history, and military history." Cornell Decl. Ex. A, at ¶ 8. Moreover, in some cases, "one must travel to historical archives, rare book libraries, and other repositories of primary sources to locate the relevant materials." Cornell Decl. Ex. A, at ¶ 6. As a result, "research on gun regulation is timeconsuming, far more so than many other areas of legal history where many 'one stop and shop' digital collections, most notably Westlaw, have made some of the traditionally arduous tasks of legal research and writing less cumbersome and labor intensive." Cornell Decl. Ex. A, at ¶ 7. Some of the experts who anticipate needing to conduct new research estimate it will likely take six to nine months to produce the declarations and reports that this case requires. Cornell Decl. Ex. A, at ¶ 11.

In light of these considerations, State Defendants therefore propose the following timeline to build out the factual record compelled by *Bruen* on remand:

- (1) Initial disclosures **not applicable.**
- (2) Service of written discovery requests **December 20, 2022**.
 - (a) Maximum of 15 Interrogatories by each party to each other party.
 - (b) Maximum of **10** fact depositions to be taken by each party.
- (3) Factual discovery to be completed by **February 15, 2023** (**if applicable**).
- (4) Plaintiffs' expert reports due by June 14, 2023.

November 18, 2022

Page 4

(5) Plaintiffs' expert depositions be completed by July 19, 2023.

- (6) Defendant's expert reports due by August 23, 2023.
- (7) Defendant's expert depositions to be completed by **September 13, 2023**.
- (8) Dispositive motions to be filed by **December 1, 2023**.

State Defendants have also moved to consolidate this case with two related cases in this district, *Cheeseman, et al. v. Platkin, et al.*, No. 22-cv-4360, and *Ellman, et al. v. Platkin, et al.*, No. 22-cv-4397. Should consolidation be granted, State Defendants are open to revisiting the above proposed timeline.

Sincerely yours,

MATTHEW J. PLATKIN ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY

By: /s/ Stuart M. Feinblatt
Stuart M. Feinblatt
Assistant Attorney General

cc: All counsel of record (via ECF)

Case 3:18-cv-10507-PGS-LHG Document 129 Filed 11/18/22 Page 5 of 30 PageID: 1959

November 18, 2022 Page 5

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

ASSOCIATION OF NEW JERSEY RIFLE AND PISTOL CLUBS, INC., BLAKE ELLMAN, and MARC WEINBERG,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MATTHEW PLATKIN, in his official capacity as Attorney General of New Jersey, PATRICK J. CALLAHAN, in his official capacity as Superintendent of the New Jersey Division of State Police, RYAN MCNAMEE, in his official capacity as Chief of Police of the Chester Police Department, and JOSEPH MADDEN, in his official capacity as Chief of Police of the Park Ridge Police Department,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:18-CV-10507

DECLARATION OF SAUL CORNELL, Ph.D.

- I, Saul Cornell, Ph.D., declare as follows:
- 1. I am over the age of 18 and have personal knowledge of all the facts stated herein.
- 2. I am the Paul and Diane Guenther Chair in American History at Fordham University. The Guenther chair is one of three endowed chairs in the history department at Fordham and the only one in American history. In addition to teaching constitutional history at Fordham University to undergraduates and graduate students, I teach constitutional law at Fordham Law School. I have been a Senior Visiting research scholar on the faculty of Yale Law School, the University of Connecticut Law School, and Benjamin Cardozo Law School. I have given invited lectures, presented papers at faculty workshops, and participated in conferences on the topic of the Second Amendment and the history of gun regulation at Yale Law School, Harvard Law School, Stanford Law School, UCLA Law School, the University of Pennsylvania Law

School, Columbia Law School, Duke Law School, Pembroke College Oxford, Robinson College, Cambridge, Leiden University, and McGill University.¹

- 3. My writings on the Second Amendment and gun regulation have been widely cited by state and federal courts.² My scholarship on this topic has appeared in leading law reviews and top peer reviewed legal history journals. I authored the chapter on the right to bear arms in the Oxford Handbook of the U.S. Constitution and co-authored the chapter in The Cambridge History of Law in America on the Founding era and the Marshall Court, the period that includes the adoption of the Constitution and the Second Amendment.³ Thus, my expertise not only includes the history of gun regulation and the right to keep and bear arms, but also extends to American legal and constitutional history broadly defined.
- 4. I submit this declaration in support of the State Defendants' proposed discovery schedule.
- 5. Although there is a great deal of scholarship in the field of legal and constitutional history, Second Amendment scholarship is a relatively young subfield. In the years since Heller was decided a burgeoning body of scholarship has started to remedy this deficiency, but new materials and scholarship continue to emerge slowly, expanding our understanding of the scope of arms regulation in the Anglo-American legal tradition. Still, much work needs to be done to fill out this picture. Any implementation of Bruen's model must account for this new body of research and incorporate these materials into an analysis of history, text, and tradition.

¹ For a full *curriculum vitae* listing relevant invited and scholarly presentations, *see* Exhibit 1.
² For a list of court citations, *see* Exhibit 2.
³ Saul Cornell, *The Right to Bear Arms, in* THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION 739–759 (Mark Tushnet, Sanford Levinson & Mark Graber eds., 2015); Saul Cornell & Gerald Leonard, *Chapter 15: The Consolidation of the Early Federal System, in* 1 THE CAMBRIDGE HISTORY OF LAW IN AMERICA 518–544 (Christopher Tomlins & Michael Grossberg eds., 2008).

- 6. One important difference between research on the history of firearms regulation and other subfields of law is that there is no Westlaw-like searchable database for this topic. The most important collection of sources in a digital and searchable format, the Duke Firearms Research Center Repository of Historical Gun Laws, is a useful starting point for research in this area but the collection has serious gaps and has not been substantially updated since its inception in 2019. The Duke collection contains only a smattering of the many local ordinances that proliferated during the era of the Fourteenth Amendment and are a key part of the historical inquiry required by *Bruen*. Although some of these missing materials are available online, in other cases one must travel to historical archives, rare book libraries, and other repositories of primary sources to locate the relevant materials.
- 7. In short, research on gun regulation is time-consuming, far more so than many other areas of legal history where many "one stop and shop" digital collections, most notably Westlaw, have made some of the traditionally arduous tasks of legal research and writing less cumbersome and labor-intensive. Given that one must combine research in the existing digital sources with more traditional and time-consuming primary source research in archives and rare book collections, the inquiry demanded by *Bruen* is both more labor-intensive and time-consuming than other forms of legal research typically associated with constitutional litigation
- 8. The methods of legal history require a deep immersion in the primary source materials, conscious attention to the limits and strengths of various types of legal sources, and a broad knowledge of related historical subfields, both within and outside of Anglo-American legal history. To avoid approaching history, text, and tradition with an "ahistorical literalism" it is vital

⁴ For a discussion of the minimum standard for undergraduate history majors, *see* Mary Lynn Rampolla, A POCKET GUIDE TO WRITING IN HISTORY 18 (8th ed., 2015). For a primer written for graduate students, *see* Martha Howell & Walter Prevenier, FROM RELIABLE SOURCES: AN INTRODUCTION TO HISTORICAL METHODS 128 (2001). On the methods of professional legal

to survey historical scholarship across a broad range of subfields.⁵ Social history, cultural history, economic history, and military history all shed important light on the original meaning of the Second Amendment. One must avoid the common tendency to treat sources in isolation, decontextualized, floating freely, detached from the web of historical meaning that originally made them comprehensible to Americans in 1791 and ignore the way specific pieces of evidence fit together to form a coherent whole.⁶ Any effort to understand the Second Amendment and the history of gun regulation must therefore canvass a variety of historical topics, including such diverse subfields as legal history, social history, cultural history, economic history, and military history.⁷

- 9. In particular, *Bruen* recognizes that in many instances there will be no historical analogue for a modern gun law. In cases in which technology introduces new types of arms and where novel social problems arise from these new developments, no historical analogue will exist. In these instances, one must evaluate historical analogies, a task that requires a deeply contextualized understanding of American history.
- 10. Finally, much of the writing on the topic of the Second Amendment and gun regulation is highly partisan and has been produced by activists with close ties to political advocacy groups. In contrast to other thriving fields of legal history such as the history of federalism or First

history, see generally THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF LEGAL HISTORY (Markus Dirk Dubber and Christopher L. Tomlins, eds., 2018). On the methods of originalism, see Keith E. Whittington, Originalism: A Critical Introduction, 82 FORDHAM L. REV. 375 (2013). One the proper role of history in constitutional law, see generally Richard H. Fallon Jr., The Many and Varied Roles of History in Constitutional Adjudication, 90 Notre Dame L. Rev. 1753 (2015).

⁵ 139 S. Ct. 1485 (2019) at 1498.

⁶ J.H. Hexter, REAPPRAISALS IN HISTORY 194–45 (1961).

⁷ The best illustration of the breadth of approaches adopted by modern legal historians of the United States is the three volume *Cambridge History of Law in America*. *See generally* THE CAMBRIDGE HISTORY OF LAW IN AMERICA (Michael Grossberg & Christopher L. Tomlins eds., 2008).

Amendment, the number of professional legal historians working on the history of gun regulation

remains small. One consequence of this fact is that any claim or citation made in the existing law

review literature must be checked for accuracy and potential ideological bias. Typically, in less

contentious and ideologically charged subfields of the law one can spot check citations in the

"scholarly" literature and generally trust that historical claims are largely accurate, but this is not

true in the field of Second Amendment "scholarship." Vetting particular claims and checking

sources is therefore essential and is time consuming.

Although I could and likely will spend years on research in this area, I recognize 11.

that litigation is not scholarship. Thus, I anticipate it will take me approximately 6-9 months to

delve deeply enough into the areas outlined above to formulate an expert report.

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the

foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Saul Cornell

SAUL CORNELL, Ph.D.

Dated: November 17, 2022

5

EXHIBIT 1

Saul Cornell

Paul and Diane Guenther Chair in American History Department of History Fordham University

441 East Fordham Road * Bronx, NY 10458 * 203 826-6608 (c) * <u>scornell1@fordham.edu</u>

Education				
			Dissertation: "The Political Thought	
1989	University of Pennsylvania	Ph.D.	and Culture of the Anti-Federalists"	
1985	University of Pennsylvania	MA	History	
1982	Amherst College	BA	History - Magna Cum Laude	
1980-81	University of Sussex, Brighton, England			

Teaching Experience			
2009-2020	Guenther Chair in American History	Fordham University	
2011-2022	Adjunct Professor of Law	Fordham Law School	
2005-2008	Professor of History	The Ohio State University	
1997-2005	Associate Professor, History	The Ohio State University	
1995	Thomas Jefferson Chair	University of Leiden, The Netherlands	
1991-1997	Assistant Professor, History	The Ohio State University	
1989-1991	Assistant Professor, History	College of William and Mary	

Fellowships and Grants

- 2019-2020 The Gilder Lehrman Center for the Study of Slavery, Resistance, and Abolition, Yale University
- 2018-2019 Senior Research Scholar in Residence, Floersheimer Center for Constitutional Democracy, Cardozo Law School
- 2014 Senior Research Scholar in Residence, University of Connecticut Law School
- 2011 Senior Research Scholar in Residence, Yale Law School
- 2003-2008 Joyce Foundation, Second Amendment Center Grant, \$575,000
- 2003-2004 NEH Fellowship
- 2002-2005 Department of Education, Teaching American History Grant, Historyworks, \$2,000,000
- 2002 Gilder-Lehrman Fellowship
- 2001-2002 Joyce Foundation Planning Grant, \$40,000
- 2001 American Council of Learned Societies (ACLS)
- 1999-2000 Betha Grant, Batelle Memorial Endowment, Ohio Teaching Institute, \$100,000
- 1998 Thomas Jefferson Memorial Foundation, Research Fellowship
- 1995 Thomas Jefferson Chair in American Studies, Fulbright Lecturing Award
- 1994 Ohio State University Seed Grant
- 1993 Ohio State University Special Research Assignment
- 1992 Ohio State University Grant-In-Aid
- 1989-1991 NEH Post-Doctoral Fellow, Institute of Early American History and Culture

Prizes and Awards

- 2006 Langum Prize in Legal History 2006
- 2006 History News Network, Book of the Month
- 2006 History News Network, Top Young Historian
- 2001 Society of the Cincinnati, History Book Prize, a Triennial Award for the Best Book on the American Revolutionary Era
- 2000 Choice Outstanding Academic Book

Book Publications

<u>The Partisan Republic: Democracy, Exclusion, and the Fall of the Founders Constitution</u>

New Histories of American Law, series eds., Michael Grossberg and Christopher Tomlins (Cambridge University Press, 2019) [With Gerald Leonard]

The Second Amendment On Trial: Critical Essays on District of Columbia v. Heller (University of Massachusetts Press, 2013) [with Nathan Kozuskanich]

<u>Visions of America: A History of the United States</u> [co-authored with Jennifer Keene and Ed O'Donnell] (First edition, 2009), (second edition 2013) (third edition, 2016)

"A Well Regulated Militia": The Founding Fathers and the Origins of Gun Control (Oxford University Press, 2006) (paperback edition 2008)

Whose Right to Bear Arms Did the Second Amendment Protect? (Bedford/St. Martins Press, 2000) (Paperback 2000)

<u>The Other Founders: Anti-Federalism and the Dissenting Tradition in America, 1788-1828</u> (Institute of Early American History and Culture, University of North Carolina Press, 1999) (paperback edition 2001)

Editor, <u>Retrieving the American Past: Documents and Essays on American History</u>, (Pearson, 1994-2008)

Scholarly Articles, Book Chapters, and Essays:

"History and Tradition or Fantasy and Fiction: Which Version of the Past Will the Supreme Court Choose in NYSRPA v. Bruen?," 49 *Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly* (2022): 145-177.

"The Long Arc of Arms Regulation in Public: From Surety to Permitting,1328–1928," 55 <u>University of California, Davis Law Review</u> (2022): 2545-2602

"'Infants' and Arms Bearing in the Era of the Second Amendment: Making Sense of the Historical Record," 40 Yale Law & Policy Review Inter Alia 1 (2021)

"The Right to Regulate Arms in the Era of the Fourteenth Amendment: The Emergence of Good Cause Permit Schemes in Post-Civil War America" 55 <u>University of California, Davis Law Review Online</u> (2021): 65-90.

- "President Madison's Living Constitution: Fixation, Liquidation, and Constitutional Politics in the Jeffersonian Era", 89 Fordham Law Review (2021): 1761-1781.
- "History, Text, Tradition, and the Future of Second Amendment Jurisprudence: Limits on Armed Travel Under Anglo-American Law, 1688–1868," 83 <u>Law and Contemporary Problems</u> (2020): 73-95
- "Reading the Constitution, 1787–91: History, Originalism, and Constitutional Meaning." <u>Law and History Review</u> 37 (2019): 821–45
- "Constitutional Mythology and the Future of Second Amendment Jurisprudence after *Heller*," in Firearms and Freedom: The Second Amendment in the Twenty-First Century Controversies in American Constitutional Law Series (Routledge, 2017): 8-24
- "The Right to Keep and Carry Arms in Anglo-American Law, Preserving Liberty and Keeping the Peace," 80 Law and Contemporary Problems (2017): 11-54
- "Half Cocked': The Persistence of Anachronism and Presentism in the Academic Debate over the Second Amendment," 107 Northwestern Journal of Criminal Law 107 (2017): 203-218
- "The 1790 Naturalization Act and the Original Meaning of the Natural Born Citizen Clause: A Short Primer on Historical Method and the Limits of Originalism," <u>Wisconsin Law Review Forward</u> 92 (2016)
- "Constitutional Meaning and Semantic Instability: Federalists and Anti-Federalists on the Nature of Constitutional Language," in special issue on "The Future of Legal History," <u>American Journal of Legal History</u> 56 (2016): 21-29
- "Firearm Regionalism and Public Carry: Placing Southern Antebellum Case Law in Context," <u>Yale Law</u> Journal Forum 125(2015-16):121-135 [with Eric Ruben]
- "Originalism As Thin Description: An Interdisciplinary Critique" <u>Fordham Law Review Res Gestae</u> 84 (2015): 1-10
- "The Right to Bear Arms," <u>The Oxford Handbook of the US Constitution</u>, eds., Mark Tushnet, Sanford Levinson, and Mark Graber (2015): 739-759
- "Conflict, Consensus & Constitutional Meaning: The Enduring Legacy of Charles Beard" <u>Constitutional</u> Commentary 29(2014): 383-409
- "Meaning and Understanding in the History of Constitutional Ideas: the Intellectual History Alternative to Originalism" Fordham Law Review 82 (2013): 721-755
- "The Right to Carry Firearms Outside of the Home: Separating Historical Myths from Historical Realities" Fordham Urban Law Journal 39 (2012): 1695-1726
- "Evidence, Explanation, and the Ghost of Charles Beard" William & Mary Quarterly 69 (2012): 393-4
- "Idiocy, Illiteracy, and the Forgotten Voices of Popular Constitutionalism: Ratification and the Ideology of Originalism" William & Mary Quarterly 69 (2012): 365-368
- "The People's Constitution v. The Lawyer's Constitution: Popular Constitutionalism and the Original Debate Over Originalism," <u>Yale Journal of Law and the Humanities</u> 23 (2011): 295-337
- "St. George Tucker's Lecture Notes, The Second Amendment, and Originalist Methodology: A Critical Comment," Northwestern University Law Review 103 (2009): 406-416

- "Heller, New Originalism, and Law Office History: 'Meet the New Boss, Same as the Old Boss'" <u>UCLA</u>
 <u>Law Journal</u> 56 (2009): 1095 -1125
- "Originalism on Trial: The Use and Abuse of History in District of Columbia v. Heller" <u>Ohio-State Law Journal</u> 69 (2008): 625-640
- "Consolidation of the Early Federal System," Chapter 10 of the <u>Cambridge History of A merican Law</u> (Cambridge University Press, 2008) [With Gerry Leonard]
- "The Ironic Second Amendment" Albany Government Law Review 2 (2008): 292-311.
- "The Original Meaning of Original Understanding: A Neo-Blackstonian Critique," <u>Maryland Law Review</u> (2008): 101-115
- "Mobs, Militias, and Magistrates: Popular Constitutionalism During the Whiskey Rebellion," <u>Chicago-</u> Kent Law Review (2007): 883-903
- "The Second Amendment and Early American Gun Regulation: a Closer Look at the Evidence," <u>Law and History Review</u> (2007): 197-204
- "St. George Tucker and the Second Amendment: Original Understandings and Modern Misunderstandings," William and Mary Law Review 47 (2006): 1123-55
- "The Early American Origins of the Modern Gun Control Debate: The Right to Bear Arms, Firearms Regulation, the Lessons of History," <u>Stanford Law and Policy Review</u> (2006): 571-596
- "Well Regulated: The Early American Origins of Gun Control," <u>Fordham Law Review</u> 73 (2004): 487-528 [With Nathan DeDino]
- "Beyond the Myth of Consensus: The Struggle to Define the Right to Bear Arms in the Early Republic," in Beyond the Founders: New Essays on the Political History of the Early Republic (UNC Press, 2005)
- "A New Paradigm for the Second Amendment," <u>Law and History Review</u> 22 (2004): 161-7
- "Gun Laws and Policies: A Dialogue," Focus on Law Studies: Teaching about Law in the Liberal Arts (American Bar Association, 2003)
- "The Militia Movement," Oxford Companion to American Law (Oxford University Press, 2002)
- "Don't Know Much About History: The Current Crisis in Second Amendment Scholarship," Northern Kentucky Law Review (2003)
- "A Right to Bear Quills or Kill Bears? A Critical Commentary on the Linkage between the 1st and 2nd Amendment in Recent Constitutional Theory," in <u>The Limits of Freedom in A Democratic Society</u> (Kent State University Press, 2001)
- "The Irony of Progressive Historiography: The Revival of Anti-Federalism in Contemporary Constitutional History," in <u>American Law Ways and Folkways</u> (Odense University Press, Denmark 2001)
- "Commonplace or Anachronism: The Standard Model, The Second Amendment, and the Problem of History in Contemporary Constitutional Theory," <u>Constitutional Commentary</u> (1999): 221-246
- "Mere Parchment Barriers? Anti-Federalists, the Bill of Rights, and the Question of Rights Consciousness," in <u>Government Proscribed: The Bill of Rights</u> (University of Virginia Press, 1998): 175-208

- "Moving Beyond the Great Story: Post-Modern Prospects, Post-Modern Problems, A Forum on Robert Berkhofer, Jr. <u>Beyond the Great Story</u>" <u>American Quarterly</u> (1998): 349-357
- "The Anti-Federalists," in <u>The Blackwell Companion to American Thought</u>, eds., James Kloppenberg (London, 1995)
- "The Bill of Rights," in <u>The Blackwell Companion to American Thought</u>, eds., James Kloppenberg (London, 1995)
- "Splitting the Difference: Textualism, Contexualism, and Post-Modern History," <u>American Studies</u> (1995): 57-80
- "Canon Wars II: The Return of the Founders," Reviews in American History 22 (1994): 413-417
- "Moving Beyond the Canon of Traditional Constitutional History: Anti-Federalists, the Bill of Rights and the Promise of Post-Modern Historiography," <u>Law and History Review</u> (1994): 1-28
- "Early American History in a Post-Modern Age," William and Mary Quarterly 50 (1993): 329-341
- "Liberal Republicans, Republican Liberals?: The Political Thought of the Founders Reconsidered," Reviews in American History 21 (1993): 26-30
- "Politics of the Middling Sort: The Bourgeois Radicalism of Abraham Yates, Melancton Smith, and the New York Anti-Federalists," in New York in the Age of the Constitution (New York Historical Society, 1992): 151-175
- "Aristocracy Assailed: Back-Country Opposition to the Constitution and the Problem of Anti-Federalist Ideology," <u>Journal of American History</u> (1990): 1148-1172
- "The Changing Historical Fortunes of the Anti-Federalists," <u>Northwestern University Law Review</u> (1989): 39-73
- "Reflections on the `Late Remarkable Revolution in Government,' Aedanus Burke and Samuel Bryan's Unpublished History of the Ratification of the Federal Constitution," <u>The Pennsylvania Magazine of History and Biography</u> (1988): 103-130

Book Reviews:

- Journal of American History
- William and Mary Quarterly
- American Studies Journal of the Early Republic
- Pennsylvania Magazine of History and Biography
- American Quarterly
- American Journal of Legal History
- Law and History Review

Journal Manuscript Referee:

- Journal of American History
- William and Mary Quarterly
- <u>Diplomatic History</u>
- Pennsylvania Magazine of History and Biography
- <u>Law and History Review</u>
- Harvard Law Review

- Stanford Law Review
- Yale Law Journal

Book Manuscript Reviewer:

- University Press of Virginia
- University of North Carolina Press
- Stanford University Press
- University of Massachusetts Press
- Oxford University Press
- Cambridge University Press
- University of Michigan Press
- Harvard University Press

Invited Lectures:

- "Race, Regulation, and Guns: The Battleground in the Debate Over the Second Amendment," Haber/Edelman Lecture: University of Vermont, Fall 2021
- "Second Amendment Myths and Realities," University of Tampa, Honors College Symposium, November 30, 2018.
- "The Common Law and Gun Regulation: Neglected Aspects of the Second Amendment Debate," Guns in Law, Amherst College, Law Justice and Society (2016)
- "The New Movement to End Gun Violence." UCLA Hammer Museum (2016)
- "No Person May Go Armed": A Forgotten Chapter in the History of Gun Regulation" The Elizabeth Battelle Clark Legal History Series, Boston University College of Law, 2016
- Legacy Speaker Series: "Guns in the United States," University of Connecticut (2016) "How does the Second Amendment Apply to Today?"
- American Constitution Society/ Federalist Society Debate, Tulane Law School, New Orleans (2016)
- "The Second Amendment and The Future of Gun Regulation: Forgotten Lessons From U.S. History," Constitution Day Lecture, Goucher College, (2015)
- Keynote Lecture: "The Second Amendment and American Cultural Anxieties: From Standing Armies to the Zombie Apocalypse" Firearms and Freedom: The Relevance of the Second Amendment in the Twenty First Century, Eccles Center, British Library (Spring 2015)
- "Narratives of Fear and Narratives of Freedom: A Short Cultural History of the Second Amendment," Comparing Civil Gun Cultures: Do Emotions Make a Difference? Max Plank Institute, Berlin (2014)
- "History and Mythology in the Second Amendment Debate," Kollman Memorial Lecture, Cornell College, Iowa (Spring, 2013)
- "Will the Real Founding Fathers Please Stand Up or Why are so few Historians Originalists" Constitution Day Lecture, Lehman College, Fall 2011
- "Lawyers, Guns, and Historians: The Second Amendment Goes to Court," SHEAR/HSP Public Lecture, Philadelphia, July, 2008

- The Robert H. and Alma J. Wade Endowment Lecture, Kentucky Wesleyan University, "The Early American Origins of Gun Control" (2006)
- "Jefferson, Mason, and Beccaria: Three Visions of the Right to Bear Arms in the Founding Era," Bill of Rights Lecture, Gunston Hall Plantation, Fairfax, VA (2003)
- "A New Paradigm for the Second Amendment," Finlay Memorial Lecture, George Mason University, (2001)
- "Academic Gunsmoke: The Use and Abuse of History in the Second Amendment Debate," Cadenhead Memorial Lecture, University of Tulsa, (2000)
- "Why the Losers Won: The Rediscovery of Anti-Federalism in the Reagan Years," Thomas Jefferson Inaugural Lecture, University of Leiden, Netherlands, (1995)

Presentations:

- "From Ideology to Empiricism: Second Amendment Scholarship After Heller, "Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly Symposium, Heller at Ten, January 18, 2019
- "Firearms and the Common Law Tradition," Aspen Institute, Washington, DC (2016)
- "The Original Debate over Original Meaning Revisited," British Group in EarlyAmerican History, Annual Meeting, Cambridge, England (2016)
- "Second Amendment Historicism and Philosophy" The Second Generation of Second Amendment Scholarship" Brennan Center, NYU 2016
- "The Reception of the Statute of Northampton in Early America: Regionalism and the Evolution of Common Law Constitutionalism" OIEAHC and the USC/Huntington Library Early Modern Studies Institute May 29–30, 2015
- "The Right to Travel Armed in Early America: From English Restrictions to Southern Rights," British Group in Early American History, Annual Conference Edinburgh, Scotland (2014)
- "Progressives, Originalists, and Pragmatists: The New Constitutional Historicism and the Enduring Legacy of Charles Beard," Charles Beard, Economic Interpretation and History, Rothmere Center, Oxford University (2012)
- CUNY Early American Seminar, "The People's Constitution v. the Lawyer's Constitution," 2011
- Roundtable: "The Work of J.R. Pole," SHEAR, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 2011)
- "The Right to Bear Arms in the Era of the Fourteenth Amendment: Gun Rights or Gun Regulation?" Bearing Arms, Policy, Policing, and Incorporation After Heller, Santa Clara Law School (2010)
- "Re-envisioning Early American History," American Historical Association Annual Meeting, San Diego (2010)
- "The Ironic Second Amendment" Firearms, the Militia, and Safe Cities: Merging History, Constitutional Law and Public Policy, Albany Law School (2007)
- "District of Columbia v. Heller and the Problem of Originalism," University of Pennsylvania Constitutional Law Workshop, Philadelphia (2007)

- "Progressives and the Gun Control Debate," American Constitution Society, Harvard Law School, (2006)
- "The Problem of Popular Constitutionalism in Early American Constitutional Theory," American Association of Law Schools, Annual Conference (2006)
- "Popular Constitutionalism and the Whiskey Rebellion," Symposium on Larry Kramer's <u>The People Themselves</u>, Chicago-Kent Law School (2005)
- Roundtable Discussion on the Second Amendment and Gun Regulation, NRA/GMU Student's For the Second Amendment Symposium (2005)
- "The Early American Origins of the Modern Gun Control Debate: The Right to Bear Arms, Firearms Regulation, and the Lessons of History," Gun Control: Old Problems, New Problems, Joint Conference Sponsored by the John Glenn Institute and Stanford Law School (2005)
- "Original Rules for Originalists?" University of Minnesota Law School (2005)
- "The Fourteenth Amendment and the Origins of the Modern Gun Debate," UCLA, Legal History Workshop (2004)
- "Beyond Consensus, Beyond Embarrassment: The Use and Abuse of History in the Second Amendment Debate," American Society of Legal History, Austin, TX (2004)
- "Armed in the Holy Cause of Liberty: Guns and the American Constitution," NYU Legal History Colloquium (2004)
- "Digital Searches and Early American History," SHEAR Brown University (2004)
- "Well Regulated: The Early American Origins of Gun Control," The Second Amendment and the Future of Gun Regulation," Joint Conference Sponsored by the John Glenn Institute and Fordham Law School, New York (2004)
- "Minuteman, Mobs, and Murder: Forgotten Contexts of the Second Amendment," Department of History, University of California Berkeley (2003)
- "History vs. Originalism in the Second Amendment Debate," Federalist Society/ American Constitution Society, George Washington University Law School, Washington D.C. (2003)
- "Self-defense, Public Defense, and the Politics of Honor in the Early Republic," Lake Champlain Early American Seminar, Montreal (2003)
- "The Ironic Second Amendment" "Gun Control: Controversy, Social Values, and Policy," University of Delaware Legal Studies Conference, Newark, Delaware (2003)
- "Individuals, Militias, and the Right to Bear Arms: The Antebellum Debate Over Guns," Institute for Legal Studies, University of Wisconsin School of Law (2004)
- "Guns in the British Atlantic World: New Research, New Directions" Society for the Historians of the Early American Republic, Ohio State University (2003)
- "Neither Individual nor Collective: A New Paradigm for the Second Amendment," American Bar Foundation, Chicago (2003)
- "The Changing Meaning of the Armed Citizen in American History," "Americanism Conference," Georgetown University (2003)

- "A New Paradigm for the Second Amendment?" Supreme Court Historical Society, Washington, D.C. (2002)
- "Constitutional History as Cultural History: The Case of the Second Amendment" European American Studies Association, Bordeaux, France (2002)
- "Don't Know Much About History: The Current Crises in Second Amendment Scholarship," Salmon P. Chase College of Law, Symposium, "The Second Amendment Today," (2002)
- "History, Public Policy, and the Cyber-Age: Gun Control Policy after the Emerson Decision," Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University (2002)
- "Constitutional History After the New Cultural History: The Curious Case of the Second Amendment," Society of the Historians of the Early American Republic, Baltimore (2001)
- Roundtable Discussion, "The State of Second Amendment Scholarship," American Historical Association (2001)
- "Armed in the Holy Cause of Liberty: Critical Reflections on the Second Amendment Debate," Vanderbilt University Law School (2001)
- "Neither Individual nor Collective: A New Paradigm for the Second Amendment," Boston University Law School, (2000)
- "The Current State of Second Amendment Scholarship," National Press Club Washington, D.C. American Bar Association, (2000)
- "Taking the Hype out of Hyper-Text, Or What Should Textbook Companies Being Doing for us on the Web," OAH St. Louis, Missouri (1999)
- "The Ironies of Progressive Historiography: The Revival of Anti-Federalism in Contemporary Constitutional Theory," European American Studies Association, Lisbon, Portugal (1998)
- "Deconstructing the Canon of American Constitutional History" American Society of Legal History, Seattle, Washington (1998)
- "Beyond Meta-narrative: The Promise of Hypertext," American Studies Association, Seattle, Washington (1998)
- "Text, Context, Hypertext," American Historical Association, Washington D.C. (1998)
- "Jefferson and Enlightenment," International Center for Jefferson Studies, Charlottesville, VA, (1998)
- "Copley's Watson and the Shark: Interpreting Visual Texts with Multi-media Technology," American Studies Association, Washington, D.C. (1997)
- "Multi-Media and Post-Modernism," H-Net Conference, Technology and the Future of History, East Lansing, Michigan (1997)
- Comment on Jack Rakove's <u>Original Meanings</u>, Society of the Historians of the Early Republic, State College, PA (1997)
- "Teaching with Multi-Media Technology," Indiana University, spring 1997 "Constitutional History from the Bottom Up: The Second Amendment as a Test Case," McGill University, Montreal, Canada (1996)

- "Just Because You Are Paranoid, Does Not Mean the Federalists Are Not Out to Get You: Freedom of the Press in Pennsylvania," University of Pennsylvania (1995)
- "Multi-Media and Post-Modernism: The Future of American Studies?" Lecture, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, Netherlands (1995)
- "Post-Modern American History? Ratification as a Test Case," St. Cross College, Oxford University, Oxford, England (1994)
- "The Other Founders," NYU Legal History Seminar," NYU Law School (1994)
- "Reading the Rhetoric of Ratification," paper presented at "Possible Pasts: Critical Encounters in Early America," Philadelphia Center for Early American Studies, Philadelphia, PA (1994)
- "American Historiography and Post-Modernism," Organization of American Historians, Atlanta, GA (1994)
- "The Anti-Federalist Origins of Jeffersonianism," Columbia Seminar on Early American History (1994)
- "American History in a Post-Modern Age?" American Historical Association, San Francisco, CA (1994)
- "Post-Modern Constitutional History?" Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, IN (1993)
- Participant, Institute of Early American History and Culture, planning conference, "New Approaches to Early American History," Williamsburg, VA (1992)
- "Mere Parchment Barriers? Federalists, Anti-Federalists and the Problem of Rights Consciousness," American Studies Association, Baltimore, MD (1991)
- "James Madison and the Bill of Rights: a comment on papers by Jack Rakove, Ralph Ketcham and Max Mintz," Organization of American Historians and Center for the Study of the Presidency Conference, "America's Bill of Rights at 200 Years," Richmond, VA, (1991)
- Symposium participant, "Algernon Sidney and John Locke: Brothers in Liberty?" Liberty Fund Conference, Houston, TX (1991)
- "Mere Parchment Barriers? Antifederalists, the Bill of Rights and the Question of Rights Consciousness," Capitol Historical Society, Washington, D.C. (1991)
- "Anti-Federalism and the American Political Tradition," Institute of Early American History and Culture Symposium, Williamsburg, VA (1989)

Interviews, Editorials, Essays, Podcasts:

 "Clarence Thomas' Latest Guns Decision Is Ahistorical and Anti-Originalist" SLATE June 24, 2022

- Cherry-picked history and ideology-driven outcomes: Bruen's originalist distortions, "SCOTUSblog (Jun. 27, 2022, 5:05 PM),
- "The Right Found a New Way to Not Talk About a School Shooting," SLATE May 25, 2022
- "The Horror in New York Shows the Madness of the Supreme Court's Looming Gun Decision,"
 Slate May 19, 2022
- "Guns, Guns Everywhere: Last week's subway Shooting was Horrifying. If the Supreme Court Creates a National Right to Carry, the Future will be Worse," <u>New York Daily News</u> Apr 17, 2022
- "The Supreme Court's Latest Gun Case Made a Mockery of Originalism" *Slate* November 10, 2021
- "'Originalism' Only Gives the Conservative Justices One Option On a Key Gun Case," *Washington Post*, November 3, 2021
- "Neither British Nor Early American History Support the Nearly Unfettered Right to Carry Arms," *Slate* November 02, 2021
- "Will the Supreme Court Create Universal Concealed Carry Based on Fantasy Originalism?" *Slate* November 1, 2021
- "Biden was Wrong About Cannons, but Right About the Second Amendment," *Slate* June 29, 2021
- "Barrett and Gorsuch Have to Choose Between Originalism and Expanding Gun Rights," *Slate* April 29, 2021 Slate
- "What Today's Second Amendment Gun Activists Forget: The Right Not to Bear Arms," *Washington Post*, January 18, 2021
- "Could America's Founders Have Imagined This?" The New Republic, December 20, 2019
- "Don't Embrace Originalism to Defend Trump's Impeachment" *The New Republic*, December 5, 2019
- "The Second-Amendment Case for Gun Control" *The New Republic*, August 4, 2019
- "The Lessons of a School Shooting—in 1853" *Politico*, March 24, 2018.
- "Originalism and the Second Amendment in *District of Columbia v. Heller*," *University of Chicago Law Review*, Podcast, Briefly 1.9, Wed, 04/11/2018
- "Sandy Hook and the Original Meaning of the Second Amendment," *Time* December, 2017
- "The State of the Second Amendment," National Constitution Center, Podcast October, 2017
- "Gun Anarchy and the Unfree State: The Real History of the Second Amendment," *The Baffler On-line* October 2017
- "Five Types of Gun Laws the Founding Fathers Loved" Salon October 22, 2017
- "Half Cocked," Book Forum April 2016
- "Let's Make an Honest Man of Ted Cruz. Here's how we Resolve his "Birther" Dilemma with Integrity" *Salon* January 23, 2016
- "Guns Have Always Been Regulated," The Atlantic Online December 17, 2015
- "The Slave-State Origins of Modern Gun Rights" *The Atlantic Online* 30, 2015 [with Eric Ruben]
- PBS, "Need to Know: 'Debating the Second Amendment: Roundtable'" April 26, 2013
- "All Guns are not Created Equal" Jan 28, 2013 *Chronicle of Higher Education* [with Kevin Sweeney]

- "What the 'Right to Bear Arms' Really Means" *Salon* January 15, 2011 "Elena Kagan and the Case for an Elitist Supreme Court," *Christian Science Monitor* May 20, 2010
- "Gun Points," *Slate*, March 8, 2010 (With Justin Florence, and Matt Shors)
- "What's Happening to Gun Control," To the Point, NPR. March 11, 2010
- "Getting History Right," *National Law Journal*, March 1, 2010
- "History and the Second Amendment," The Kojo Nnamdi Show, WAMU (NPR) March 17, 2008
- "The Court and the Second Amendment," *On Point* with Tom Ashbrook, WBUR (NPR) March 17, 2008
- "Aim for Sensible Improvements to Gun Regulations," Detroit Free Press, April 29, 2007
- "A Well Regulated Militia," *The Diane Rehm Show*, WAMU (NPR) Broadcast on Book TV (2006)
- "Taking a Bite out of the Second Amendment," *History News Network*, January 30, 2005
- "Gun Control," Odyssey, Chicago NPR September 8, 2004
- "Loaded Questions," Washington Post Book World February 2, 2003
- "The Right to Bear Arms," Interview *The Newshour*, PBS May 8, 2002
- "Real and Imagined," New York Times, June 24, 1999

Other Professional Activities

- Editorial Board, Constitutional Study, University of Wisconsin Press (2014-present)
- Advisory Council, Society of Historians of the Early American Republic (SHEAR) (2007-2009)
- Program Committee, Annual Conference, Society of the Historians of the Early American Republic, Philadelphia, PA 2008
- Editorial Board, American Quarterly (2004-2007)
- Director, Second Amendment Research Center, John Glenn Institute for Public Service and Public Policy, 2002- 2007
- Fellow, Center for Law, Policy, and Social Science, Moritz College of Law, Ohio State University 2001-2004
- Local Arrangements Committee, Annual Conference, Society of the Historians of the Early American Republic, Columbus, OH 2003
- Project Gutenberg Prize Committee, American Historical Association, 2004, 2002
- Program Committee, Annual Conference, Society of the Historians of the Early Republic, 2001
- Co-Founder Ohio Early American Studies Seminar
- NEH Fellowship Evaluator, New Media Projects, Television Projects
- Multi-media Consultant and Evaluator, National Endowment for the Humanities, Special, Projects, Division of Public Programs, Grants Review Committee (1999)

Court Citations, Amicus Briefs and Expert Witness Reports

US Supreme Court:

N.Y. State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, 597 U.S. , 50 2022 U.S. Lexis 3055 (2022)

N.Y. State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, 597 U.S. ___, 26, 28, 45, 47 2022 U.S. Lexis 3055 (2022) (Breyer, J. dissenting)

McDonald v. City of Chicago, Ill., 561 U.S. 742, 900, 901 n.44 (2010) (Stevens, J., dissenting).

McDonald v. City of Chicago, Ill., 561 U.S. 742, 914, 933 (2010) (Breyer, J., dissenting).

D.C. v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570, 666 n.32, 671, 685 (2008) (Stevens, J., dissenting).

Federal Courts:

<u>Jones v. Bonta</u>, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit. May 11, 2022 --- F.4th ---- 2022 WL 1485187.

<u>Duncan v. Bonta</u>, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit. November 30, 2021 19 F.4th 1087 2021

Young v. Hawaii, 992 F.3d 765, 785-86 (9th Cir. 2021) (en banc).

Kanter v. Barr, 919 F.3d 437, 446 n.6, 457, 462, 464 (7th Cir. 2019) (Barrett, J., dissenting).

Medina v. Whitaker, 913 F.3d 152, 159 (D.C. Cir.), cert. denied sub nom. Medina v. Barr, 140 S. Ct. 645 (2019).

<u>Young v. Hawaii</u>, 896 F.3d 1044, 1066 (9th Cir. 2018), <u>reh'g en banc granted</u>, 915 F.3d 681 (9th Cir. 2019).

<u>Young v. Hawaii</u>, 896 F.3d 1044, 1077 (9th Cir. 2018) (Clifton, J., dissenting), <u>reh'g en banc granted</u>, 915 F.3d 681 (9th Cir. 2019).

Teixeira v. Cty. of Alameda, 873 F.3d 670, 684–85 (9th Cir. 2017).

Kolbe v. Hogan, 813 F.3d 160, 175 (4th Cir. 2016), on reh'g en banc, 849 F.3d 114 (4th Cir. 2017).

Binderup v. Attorney Gen. United States of Am., 836 F.3d 336, 348 (3d Cir. 2016).

Binderup v. Attorney Gen. United States of Am., 836 F.3d 336, 370–71, 371 n.17, 372 n.19 (3d Cir. 2016) (Hardiman, J., concurring).

<u>Binderup v. Attorney Gen. United States of Am.</u>, 836 F.3d 336, 389 n.85, 405 n.187 (3d Cir. 2016) (Fuentes, J., concurring).

Peruta v. Cty. of San Diego, 824 F.3d 919, 935 (9th Cir. 2016).

Peruta v. Cty. of San Diego, 742 F.3d 1144, 1185, 1188 (9th Cir. 2014) (Thomas, J., dissenting).

Nat'l Rifle Ass'n, Inc. v. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, & Explosives, 714 F.3d 334, 342 n.19, 343 n.23 (5th Cir. 2013) (Jones, J., dissenting).

Kachalsky v. Cty. of Westchester, 701 F.3d 81, 95 & n.21 (2d Cir. 2012).

Moore v. Madigan, 702 F.3d 933, 935 (7th Cir. 2012).

Nat'l Rifle Ass'n of Am., Inc. v. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, & Explosives, 700 F.3d 185, 200, 202–03 (5th Cir. 2012).

<u>United States v. Carpio-Leon</u>, 701 F.3d 974, 980 (4th Cir. 2012).

<u>United States v. Greeno</u>, 679 F.3d 510, 519 (6th Cir. 2012).

United States v. Yancey, 621 F.3d 681, 684 (7th Cir. 2010).

<u>United States v. Rene E.</u>, 583 F.3d 8, 12, 15–16 (1st Cir. 2009).

Miller v. Sessions, 356 F. Supp. 3d 472, 481 (E.D. Pa. 2019).

Grace v. D.C., 187 F. Supp. 3d 124, 138 n.11 (D.D.C. 2016).

Powell v. Tompkins, 926 F. Supp. 2d 367, 386 (D. Mass. 2013), aff'd, 783 F.3d 332 (1st Cir. 2015).

<u>United States v. Tooley</u>, 717 F. Supp. 2d 580, 589–591 (S.D.W. Va. 2010), <u>aff'd</u>, 468 F. App'x 357 (4th Cir. 2012).

<u>United States v. Boffil-Rivera</u>, No. 08-20437-CR, 2008 WL 8853354, 6 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 12, 2008), report and recommendation adopted sub nom.

<u>United States v. Gonzales-Rodriguez</u>, No. 08-20437-CR, 2008 WL 11409410 (S.D. Fla. Sept. 22, 2008), aff'd sub nom.

United States v. Boffil-Rivera, 607 F.3d 736 (11th Cir. 2010).

State Courts:

Norman v. State, 215 So. 3d 18, 30 & nn.11–12 (Fla. 2017).

Posey v. Com., 185 S.W.3d 170, 179–180 (Ky. 2006).

Posey v. Com., 185 S.W.3d 170, 185 n.3 (Ky. 2006) (Scott, J., concurring).

State v. Craig, 826 N.W.2d 789, 796 (Minn. 2013).

People v. Handsome, 846 N.Y.S.2d 852, 858 (N.Y. Crim. Ct. 2007).

Zaatari v. City of Austin, No. 03-17-00812-CV, 2019 WL 6336186, 22 (Tex. App. Nov. 27, 2019) (Kelly, J., dissenting).

State v. Roundtree, 2021 WI 1, 395 Wis. 2d 94, 952 N.W.2d 765

State v. Christen, 2021 WI 39, 958 N.W.2d 746

Amicus Briefs:

Amicus Brief, Harper v. Moore, No. 21-1271 (U.S. Supreme Court, 2022) [ISLT and Gerrymandering]

Amicus Brief KOX V. STATE OF GEORGIA, SUPREME COURT STATE OF GEORGIA Case No. S23A0167 [Second Amendment and Campus Carry]

Amicus Brief, NYSRPA v. Bruen, No. 20-843 (U.S. Supreme Court, 2021) [2nd Amendment]

Amicus Brief, Young v. State of Hawaii NO. 12-17808 (9th Cir. 2020) [2nd Amendment]

Amicus Brief, Gould v. Morgan, No. 17-2202 (1st Cir. 2018) [2nd Amendment]

Amicus Brief, *Flanagan vs. Becerra*, Central District of California Case (2018) [2nd Amendment]

Amicus Brief, Gill v. Whitford (US Supreme Court, 2017) [Partisan Gerrymandering]

Amicus Brief, Woollard v Gallagher, (4th Cir. 2013) [Second Amendment]

Amicus Brief *Heller v. District of Columbia* [Heller II] (US Court of Appeals for D.C.) (2010) [2nd Amendment]

Amicus Brief, McDonald v. City of Chicago (US Supreme Court, 2010) [14th Amendment]

Amicus Brief, District of Columbia v. Heller (US Supreme Court 2008) [2nd Amendment]

Amicus Brief, *Silvera* v. *Lockyer*, case on appeal(9th Circuit 2003) [2nd Amendment]

Amicus Brief, *Emerson* v. *U.S.* case on appeal (5th Circuit 1999) [2nd Amendment]

Pro-bono Historical Consultant State of Ohio, *McIntyre* v. *Ohio*, (U.S. Supreme Court, 1995) [1st Amendment]

Expert Witness Reports

Rocky Mountain Gun Owners, Nonprofit Corp. v. Hickenlooper, 14-cv-02850 (D. Colo.).

Chambers, et al., v. City of Boulder, 2018 CV 30581 (Colo. D. Ct. City of Boulder, filed June 14, 2018). Zelenv v. Newsom, 14-cv-02850 (N.D. Cal.).

Miller, et al v. Smith, et al., 2018 cv 3085 (C.D. Ill.).

Jones v. Bonta United States Court of Appeals, --- F.4th ---- , 2022 WL 1485187 (9th Cir., May 11, 2022).

Baird v. Bonta, No. 2:19-cv-00617 (E.D. Cal.).

Worth v. Harrington, 21-cv-1348 (D. Minn.).

Law Review Symposia Organized

Second Amendment:

"The Second Amendment and the Future of Gun Regulation: Historical, Legal, Policy, and Cultural Perspectives," 73 *Fordham L. Rev.* 487 (2004).

"Gun Control: Old Problems, New Paradigms" 17 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 671 (2006).

"A Symposium on Firearms, the Militia and Safe Cities: Merging History, Constitutional Law and Public Policy," 1 *Alb. Gov't L. Rev.* 292 (2008).

"The 2nd Amendment at the Supreme Court: "700 Years of History" and the Modern Effects of Guns in Public," 55 U.C. Davis L. Rev. 2545 (2022).

New Originalism:

[&]quot;The New Originalism" 82 Fordham L. Rev. 721 (2013).

[&]quot;Historians and the New Originalism: Contextualism, Historicism, and Constitutional Meaning"84 *Fordham L. Rev.* 915 (2015).

EXHIBIT 2

Saul Cornell Court Citations

Supreme Court

N.Y. State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, 597 U.S. , 50 2022 U.S. Lexis 3055 (2022)

N.Y. State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, 597 U.S. ___, 26, 28, 45, 47 2022 U.S. Lexis 3055 (2022) (Breyer, J. dissenting)

McDonald v. City of Chicago, Ill., 561 U.S. 742, 900, 901 n.44 (2010) (Stevens, J., dissenting).

McDonald v. City of Chicago, Ill., 561 U.S. 742, 914, 933 (2010) (Breyer, J., dissenting).

<u>D.C. v. Heller</u>, 554 U.S. 570, 666 n.32, 671, 685 (2008) (Stevens, J., dissenting).

Federal Courts

Range v. Att'y Gen. United States, No. 21-2835, 2022 WL 16955670 (3d Cir. Nov. 16, 2022).

Antonyuk v. Hochul, No. 122CV0986GTSCFH, 2022 WL 16744700 (N.D.N.Y. Nov. 7, 2022).

<u>United States v. Bullock</u>, No. 3:18-CR-165-CWR-FKB, 2022 WL 16649175 (S.D. Miss. Oct. 27, 2022).

<u>United States of Am. v. Riley</u>, No. 1:22-CR-163 (RDA), 2022 WL 7610264 (E.D. Va. Oct. 13, 2022).

<u>United States v. Coombes</u>, No. 22-CR-00189-GKF, 2022 WL 4367056 (N.D. Okla. Sept. 21, 2022).

Nat'l Ass'n for Gun Rts., Inc. v. City of San Jose, No. 22-CV-00501-BLF, 2022 WL 3083715 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 3, 2022).

Jones v. Bonta, 34 F.4th 704 (9th Cir. 2022)

<u>Duncan v. Bonta</u>, 19 F.4th (2021).

<u>Hirschfeld v. Bureau of Alcohol, Firearms, Tobacco & Explosives</u>, 5 F.4th 407 (4th Cir.), as amended (July 15, 2021), vacated as moot, 14 F.4th 322 (4th Cir. 2021), cert. denied sub nom. Marshall v. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms & Explosives, 142 S. Ct. 1447 (2022).

Nat'l Rifle Ass'n of Am., Inc. v. Swearingen, 545 F. Supp. 3d 1247 (N.D. Fla. 2021).

Young v. Hawaii, 992 F.3d 765, 785-86 (9th Cir. 2021) (en banc).

Kanter v. Barr, 919 F.3d 437, 446 n.6, 457, 462, 464 (7th Cir. 2019) (Barrett, J., dissenting).

Medina v. Whitaker, 913 F.3d 152, 159 (D.C. Cir.), cert. denied sub nom. Medina v. Barr, 140 S. Ct. 645 (2019).

<u>Young v. Hawaii</u>, 896 F.3d 1044, 1066 (9th Cir. 2018), <u>reh'g en banc granted</u>, 915 F.3d 681 (9th Cir. 2019).

<u>Young v. Hawaii</u>, 896 F.3d 1044, 1077 (9th Cir. 2018) (Clifton, J., dissenting), <u>reh'g en banc granted</u>, 915 F.3d 681 (9th Cir. 2019).

Teixeira v. Cty. of Alameda, 873 F.3d 670, 684–85 (9th Cir. 2017).

Kolbe v. Hogan, 813 F.3d 160, 175 (4th Cir. 2016), on reh'g en banc, 849 F.3d 114 (4th Cir. 2017).

Binderup v. Attorney Gen. United States of Am., 836 F.3d 336, 348 (3d Cir. 2016).

Binderup v. Attorney Gen. United States of Am., 836 F.3d 336, 370–71, 371 n.17, 372 n.19 (3d Cir. 2016) (Hardiman, J., concurring).

Binderup v. Attorney Gen. United States of Am., 836 F.3d 336, 389 n.85, 405 n.187 (3d Cir. 2016) (Fuentes, J., concurring).

Peruta v. Cty. of San Diego, 824 F.3d 919, 935 (9th Cir. 2016).

Peruta v. Cty. of San Diego, 742 F.3d 1144, 1185, 1188 (9th Cir. 2014) (Thomas, J., dissenting).

Nat'l Rifle Ass'n, Inc. v. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, & Explosives, 714 F.3d 334, 342 n.19, 343 n.23 (5th Cir. 2013) (Jones, J., dissenting).

Kachalsky v. Cty. of Westchester, 701 F.3d 81, 95 & n.21 (2d Cir. 2012).

Moore v. Madigan, 702 F.3d 933, 935 (7th Cir. 2012).

Nat'l Rifle Ass'n of Am., Inc. v. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, & Explosives, 700 F.3d 185, 200, 202–03 (5th Cir. 2012).

<u>United States v. Carpio-Leon</u>, 701 F.3d 974, 980 (4th Cir. 2012).

United States v. Greeno, 679 F.3d 510, 519 (6th Cir. 2012).

<u>United States v. Yancey</u>, 621 F.3d 681, 684 (7th Cir. 2010).

<u>United States v. Rene E.</u>, 583 F.3d 8, 12, 15–16 (1st Cir. 2009).

Miller v. Sessions, 356 F. Supp. 3d 472, 481 (E.D. Pa. 2019).

Grace v. D.C., 187 F. Supp. 3d 124, 138 n.11 (D.D.C. 2016).

Powell v. Tompkins, 926 F. Supp. 2d 367, 386 (D. Mass. 2013), aff'd, 783 F.3d 332 (1st Cir. 2015).

<u>United States v. Tooley</u>, 717 F. Supp. 2d 580, 589–591 (S.D.W. Va. 2010), <u>aff'd</u>, 468 F. App'x 357 (4th Cir. 2012).

<u>United States v. Boffil-Rivera</u>, No. 08-20437-CR, 2008 WL 8853354, 6 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 12, 2008), report and recommendation adopted sub nom. <u>United States v. Gonzales-Rodriguez</u>, No. 08-20437-CR, 2008 WL 11409410 (S.D. Fla. Sept. 22, 2008), aff'd sub nom. <u>United States v. Boffil-Rivera</u>, 607 F.3d 736 (11th Cir. 2010).

State Courts

State v. Christen, 2021 WI 39, 396 Wis. 2d 705, 958 N.W.2d 746, cert. denied, 142 S. Ct. 1131, 212 L. Ed. 2d 20 (2022).

Norman v. State, 215 So. 3d 18, 30 & nn.11–12 (Fla. 2017).

Posey v. Com., 185 S.W.3d 170, 179-180 (Ky. 2006).

Posey v. Com., 185 S.W.3d 170, 185 n.3 (Ky. 2006) (Scott, J., concurring).

State v. Craig, 826 N.W.2d 789, 796 (Minn. 2013).

People v. Handsome, 846 N.Y.S.2d 852, 858 (N.Y. Crim. Ct. 2007).

Zaatari v. City of Austin, No. 03-17-00812-CV, 2019 WL 6336186, 22 (Tex. App. Nov. 27, 2019) (Kelly, J., dissenting).

State v. Roundtree, 2021 WI 1, 395 Wis. 2d 94, 952 N.W.2d 765

State v. Christen, 2021 WI 39, 958 N.W.2d 746